



CWP-4242-2000

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CWP-4242-2000
Date of Decision: 31.07.2024

Ved Parkash ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- None for the petitioner
Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant the benefit of pay fixation to the petitioner at the stage it has been given to his juniors in the cadre, and not to withdraw annual increment already granted with effect from 01.04.1994.

2. Learned State counsel contends that the claimed relief has already been granted to the petitioner by reviewing/rectifying his pay fixation as per the Rules. The written statement to that effect is as under:

...Now after reviewed/rectified pay fixation, orders have also been issued for recovery as per Rules. As such the present petition is not maintainable against respondent No. 1,2 and 4 because the pay of the petitioner and other two juniors as mentioned in the writ petition, which was wrongly fixed by the concerned DDOs inadvertantly, has now been reviewed/rectified as per provisions of pay Rules of pb. C.S.R. Vol. 1 part-1 and

directions of recovery to the concerned DDOs has also been issued and now there is no discrepancy in the pay fixation of the petitioner and other two juniors i.e. Respondent No. 3 and Shri S.K. Garcha.

3. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

31.07.2024

Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No