



RSA-2297-1993

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-2297-1993
Date of decision : 20.05.2024

Sube Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Snehlata

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms.Neelam Sharma, Advocate and
Mr.Varun Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr.Ankush Singla, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that in the present case, the plaintiff-respondent had filed suit for possession by way of ejectment with respect to shop in question and the said suit was dismissed by the trial Court but the appeal filed by the plaintiff-respondent was allowed. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that as per his instructions, the shop in question has been handed over by the appellant to the plaintiff-respondent and thus, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that in spite of her best efforts, she has not been able to get in touch with the appellant and thus, the present appeal be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous



RSA-2297-1993

2

but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 20, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No