

Nishant Mehra

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Information Commission and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Sr. Panel Counsel
Advocate for respondent No.1-UOI.

Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 to 4 to provide the complete information sought in application dated 17.09.2016 (Annexure P-1)
2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 4 has submitted that in spite of the fact that there is remedy of second appeal as per the Right to Information Act, 2005, in para 15 of the petition, it is stated that there is no other alternative remedy of appeal or revision.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to seek alternative remedy in accordance with law.
4. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

12.03.2024

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Pawan

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No