

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4769-2024
Date of Decision:16.04.2024

RANJIT SINGH Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. G.P.S. Bal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to appoint him on the post of Constable in Punjab Police.
2. The father of the petitioner was working with respondent as Special Police Officer (for short ‘SPO’) who in 1999 died in harness. The respondent appointed petitioner on 18.05.2018 as Class-IV employee.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be appointed as Constable. He is eligible for the said post on compassionate ground. The respondent has appointed many dependents of deceased employees as Constable on compassionate ground.
4. Concededly, the father of the petitioner passed away in 1999 and petitioner was appointed as Class-IV employee on 18.05.2018. A period of more than two decades has passed away from the date of death

of father of the petitioner. This Court in such circumstances cannot ask the respondents to appoint petitioner as Constable.

5. The present petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to approach the authorities. The respondents are at liberty to consider case of petitioner in accordance with policy in vogue.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.04.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>