

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

232

CWP-5412-2020 (O&M)
Decided on : 01.05.2024

KHEM CHAND BHARDWAJ

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Jayoti Parshad Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to approach the respondent No. 1 being higher authority against the impugned order dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure P-10) by way of representation for the redressal of his grievance and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that in case, the petitioner files any such representation with the authority concerned qua impugned order dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure P-10), the same will be considered and an appropriate speaking order will be passed by the authority concerned within a period of **eight weeks** from the date of receipt of such representation.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the claim of the petitioner is found feasible to be accepted, an appropriate order will be passed and in case the same is not found feasible to be accepted, detailed reasons for rejecting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in

the speaking order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a fresh representation with respondent No. 1 as stated herein before.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

01.05.2024
Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No