

2024:PHHC:072537



CRA-S No.800 of 2024 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

250

CRA-S No.800 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.05.2024

KRISHAN

.....Appellant

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, D.A.G., Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.


HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present appeal, challenge has been laid to the order dated 08.01.2024 passed by the Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak, whereby the prayer made on behalf of the appellant for grant of anticipatory bail was declined.

2. On 28.02.2024, following order was passed by this Court:-

“The instant appeal is directed against order dated 08.01.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak whereby his application seeking for grant of anticipatory bail had been declined.

Learned counsel submits that the present case is a case where absolute false allegations have been levelled inasmuch as while the complainant alleges that he had been allotted land in the year 1978, which the petitioner and other accused had forcibly cultivated between the year 2018 to 2020, without his consent, whereas the complainant had never paid the allotment amount ever since the day of its allotment in the year 1978 and it only on 22.9.2021 that an amount of Rs.16,000/- was deposited by



CRA-S No.800 of 2024 (O&M)

the complainant without there being any reference as to whether the complainant been granted extension with respect to deposit of such amount.

Learned counsel further submits that as a matter of fact it is the appellant who has been in continuous possession over the property in question since the year 1970, as is evident from revenue record.

Notice of motion for 22.05.2024.

The appellant is directed to appear before the learned trial Court one week from today. The trial Court shall release him on interim bail to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to its satisfaction.

It shall be open to the appellant to serve respondent No.2 by way process as well.”

3. Service is complete, however no one has chosen to appear on behalf of respondent No.2.
4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the Trial Court has admitted the appellant on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to its satisfaction vide order dated 04.03.2024.
5. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the appeal is allowed. Order dated 28.02.2024 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute. However the appellant shall keep on appearing on each and every date of hearing before the Trial Court.

May 22, 2024

Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No