

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CWP-4894-2024

Date of Decision :01.03.2024

Gourav Yadav and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate, Mr. Viren Nehra, Advocate
and Mr. Suryaveer Surjewala, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioners is that they are entitled for the grant of dearness allowance along with minimum of the pay scale as envisaged in the Judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Civil Appeal No.213-2013 titled as State of Punjab and others vs. Jagjit Singh and others, decided on 26.10.2016** as well as in the instructions dated 03.11.2017 (Annexure P/6) issued by the Government of Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are also entitled for the grant of benefit of leave travel concession, which has also not been given to them despite the fact that the said claim of the petitioners is covered by the instructions dated 03.11.2017 (Annexure P/6) to the said effect.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that as the petitioners worked for a long duration, they are also entitled for

regularisation of their services keeping in view the fact that in LPA No.576-2023, learned Advocate General, Haryana has given an undertaking before this Court that the State is already in the process of formulating the policy for the regularization of services of the adhoc employees.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of submitting the representations dated 22.12.2023 and 13.06.2023 (Annexures P/21 & P/22 respectively), which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1, 2, 20, 21 and 22.

7. Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate, who is present in the Court, on the asking of this Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.3 to 19.

8. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case representations dated 22.12.2023 and 13.06.2023 (Annexures P/21 & P/22 respectively) have been received from the petitioners and are still pending consideration with the concerned authorities, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned in accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order

9. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

- 10. Ordered accordingly.
- 11. As prayed for, petitioners are also at liberty to supplement their representations within a period of 10 days from today.

March 01, 2024

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether reportable :

Yes/No

Yes/No