



**RSA-818-1990 (O&M) and  
RSA-3064-2002 (O&M)**

**-1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**(106)**

**1.**

**RSA-818-1990 (O&M)**

**Sohan Lal**

**....Appellant**

**Versus**

**Ved Kumari (deceased) through LRs and others**

**.....Respondents**

**2.**

**RSA-3064-2002 (O&M)**

**Sohan Lal**

**....Appellant**

**Versus**

**Ved Kumari (deceased) through LRs and others**

**.....Respondents**

**Date of decision: - 01.08.2024**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

**Present:-** Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate  
for Mr. Satish Chander Malhotra, Advocate  
for the appellant.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Advocate, and  
Mr. Ashish Arora, Advocate  
for the respondents.

**\*\*\*\***

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned counsel for the respondents have jointly submitted that the present set of appeals arise from two separate suits filed by plaintiff-Sohan Lal against the respondents-defendants. The first suit was Civil Suit No.175 dated



**RSA-818-1990 (O&M) and  
RSA-3064-2002 (O&M)**

**-2-**

13.04.1987, which was a suit for possession and which was decreed by the trial Court but on an appeal filed by the defendants/respondents, the appeal was allowed, vide judgment dated 08.01.1990 by the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Court and the judgment of the trial Court was set aside and the suit of the plaintiff was dismissed. The said suit is the subject matter of RSA-818-1990. It is jointly submitted that the second suit, i.e., Civil Suit No.100/87 dated 23.01.1999 was filed by the plaintiff-Sohan Lal for permanent injunction and the said suit was dismissed by the trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 12.03.1999 and even the appeal filed by the said Sohan Lal was dismissed by the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Court vide judgment and decree dated 27.03.2002. It is further jointly submitted that the matter was referred to the Mediation Centre of this Court and the matter has been compromised and a detailed agreement/compromise/settlement dated 22.07.2024 has been entered into between the parties in which it has been undertaken that the present appeals are to be withdrawn so as to uphold the judgments of the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Court in both the RSAs. It is jointly stated that the total amount of Rs.24,00,000/- is to be paid by the respondents to party No.1.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant-Sohan Lal has died and he is survived by his two legal representatives i.e. his widow, namely, Sushma Devi and daughter Dolly Ahuja and the said compromise is with the consent of both the said LR's. It is pointed out that the total amount of Rs.24,00,000/- has been received by virtue of cheques/demand drafts which are in the name of both the

RSA-818-1990 (O&M) and  
RSA-3064-2002 (O&M)

-3-

LRs.

3.           Learned counsel for the respondents has also reaffirmed the  
said facts.
4.           It has been jointly submitted before this Court that both the  
parties would be bound by the terms of the said compromise.
5.           Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that in view  
of the compromise, the appellants be permitted to withdraw both the  
appeals.
6.           Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and  
joint prayer made by both the parties, the appellants are permitted to  
withdraw the present appeals.

August 01, 2024  
naresh.k

( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes  
No