



233 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.684 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.05.2024

PIRTHI SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

SAKSHI SAWHNEY

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent for violating the orders dated 03.10.2023 and 17.10.2023 passed in CWP-21965-2023.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that earlier the matter was being carried on by the District Revenue Officer, who has been conferred the powers of the Assistant Collector Ist Grade for the purpose of disposing the cases of partition of NRI category. However, the petitioner had expressed his dissatisfaction with the said authority and accordingly, the District Revenue Officer had sent the case to the Deputy Commissioner. Thereafter, the Deputy Commissioner has marked the case to the Additional District Commissioner for speedy disposal. Copy of letter to that effect has also been placed on record.



3. In view of the above, this Court does not find any reason or ground to continue with the present petition.

4. Dismissed.

5. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left un-redressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

16.05.2024
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No