



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

RSA-1704-1993 (O&M)
Decided on :27.08.2024

Parkash Wati

... Appellant

Versus

Ramela Ram and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**PRESENT: None.**

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present appeal arises from the suit for permanent injunction.
2. On 02.05.2024, none had appeared on behalf of the appellant and the matter was adjourned to 01.08.2024.
3. On 01.08.2024, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. H. K. Brinda, Advocate for
Mr. R. N. Moudgil, Advocate
for the appellant.

*Learned counsel for the appellant has
submitted that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been
able to get in touch with the appellant and has prayed that
notice be issued to the appellant and the respondents.*

*Let notice be issued to the appellant as well
as the respondents for 27.08.2024.*

To be taken up at 10:00 AM.”

RSA-1704-1993 (O&M)

-2-

4. As per the report of the registry, sole appellant has died.
5. Today, none has appeared on behalf of the appellant/legal representatives.
6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
7. Liberty is granted to the legal representatives of the appellant to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.
8. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

27.08.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/~~No~~

Whether reportable?

~~Yes~~/No