

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3940-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.08.2024

VIJAY SINGH
.....PETITIONER

Vs.

THE SUB DIVISIONAL CANAL OFFICER AND OTHER
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present:- Mr. Ashok Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner (Vijay Singh) has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for setting aside the order dated 21.09.1999 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Divisional Canal Officer, Hisar, whereby the water course was restored for a period of two years. Petitioner further seeks setting aside the order dated 15.12.1999 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Superintending Canal Officer, Hisar, whereby the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the order dated 21.09.1999 (Annexure P-3) was dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant writ petition becomes infructuous on account of the fact that water course was allowed to be restored for two years only, which has since expired.

- 3. In view of aforesaid fact, the instant writ petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
- 4. Disposed of accordingly.
- 5. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

August 13, 2024
Pd

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No