



115
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-1585-1993
Date of decision : 30.09.2024

Jangiro and others
... Appellants

Versus

Teja Singh and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Arun Bansal, Advocate (through V.C.) and
Mr.Anubhav Bansal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr.Vinod K. Kataria, Advocate
for respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in spite of his best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellants and appellants have also not contacted the office of the counsel so as state whether any cause survives in the present appeal and has prayed that the present appeal be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the case, if any, cause survives.
2. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to revive the case, if any, cause survives.
3. Registry is directed to send copy of present order to the appellants, on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 30, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No