

**132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Neutral Citation No. 2024:PHHC:052329
RSA-1536-1993**

Date of Decision: April 19, 2024

Prithi Singh and others

... Appellants

Versus

Bal Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr. Anuj Malik, Advocate for respondent No.6.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Court notice sent to appellant Nos.1, 2 and 4 received back with the report that all of them have expired. Appellant No.3 has been served through his nephew.

2. Name of respondent No.1 was already deleted. Notice to respondent Nos.2, 3, 8 and 11 received with the report that they have expired. Respondent No.4 has been served through his nephew. Respondent No.5 has been served through his son. Respondent Nos.7, 9 and 10 have been served through their nephew.

3. Memo of appearance has been filed on behalf of respondent No.6.

4. As noticed above, out of 04 appellants, 03 have died and 01 has been duly served, but there is no representation on behalf of any of the appellants/their LRs.

5. A perusal of the file would reveal that the suit filed by the plaintiffs (appellants herein) for declaration with consequential relief of injunction was dismissed by the Trial Court on 08.06.1989. The appeal filed

by the plaintiffs-appellants was dismissed by the First Appellate Court on 14.06.1993. Thus, present RSA is against the concurrent findings of both the Court below, dismissing the suit of the plaintiffs-appellants.

6. As none of the appellants are coming forward, it appears that they are no longer interested in pursuing this appeal.

7. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, this appeal is hereby disposed of with liberty to the appellants, so as to approach this Court to get this appeal revived, in case any cause of action still survives.

April 19, 2024
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No