

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208 (A) + 225 CRM-M-10416-2024

DharamrajPetitioner
Vs.
State of HaryanaRespondent

CRM-M-11943-2024

Sunny @ SonuPetitioner
Vs.
State of HaryanaRespondent

Date of Decision.:24.04.2024

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for the
petitioner in CRM-M-10416-2024.

Mr. Saleen Ahmed, Advocate for the
petitioner in CRM-M-11943-2024.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

In both the petitions titled above, petitioner(s) prays for their release on regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.137 dated 14.03.2023 registered under Sections 147, 149, 201, 304, 323, 341 & 302 of the IPC registered at Police Station Kheripul, District Faridabad.

2. Separate status report by way of an affidavit of Shri Rajeev Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Central Faridabad in both the cases has been filed on behalf of respondent- State.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contends that similarly placed co-accused Mohan has already been allowed bail by this

Court vide order dated 31.01.2024 passed in CRM-M-54151-2023 (Annexure P-5) as produced in CRM-M-10416-2024.

4. Learned State counsel concedes the aforesaid fact to the effect that case of both the petitioners i.e. Dharamraj & Sunny @ Sonu are on parity with co-accused Mohan, who has already been allowed bail.

5. Custody certificate placed on record by learned State counsel would reveal that petitioner Sunny @ Sonu is in custody for the last 01 year and 07 days with no other criminal case pending against him. As far as petitioner Dharamraj is concerned, he is in custody since 13.04.2023 i.e. for the last more than 01 year, though his custody certificate is not placed on record.

6. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, particularly on the basis of parity and also for the reasons as are discussed by this Court in order dated 31.01.2024 in CRM-M-54151-2023 pertaining to co-accused Mohan, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, both the petitions are allowed and petitioners are admitted to bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case file.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

April 24, 2024

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No