

601

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.S.A. NO. 1153 OF 1993

Date of Decision: October 21, 2013

Ludhiana Improvement Trust

.....Appellant

Versus

Surinder Kaur etc.

.....Respondents

CORAM; HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. I.K. Mehta, Sr. Advocate with
Mrs. Ranjit Mehta, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN, J (ORAL)

Mrs. Ranjit Mehta, Advocate appearing for the appellant,
states that in spite of having written a letter to the appellant, nobody
has contacted her and also states that she has no instructions to
argue the matter. It, therefore, seems that the appellant,
Improvement Trust is not interested to prosecute the appeal.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

October 21, 2013
Anjal