

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

2024:PHHC:026015

RSA-1115-1993
Date of decision: 26.02.2024

AMAR SINGH ..Appellant

Versus

RAJ SINGH ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Manuj Chadha, Advocate
for Mr. Subhash Goyal, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. From 05.12.2022, the following orders were passed:-

“05.12.2022
*The matter has been taken up after a gap of 28 years.
None has appeared on behalf of the parties.*

*Let notice be issued to learned counsel for the
appellant as well as learned counsel for the respondent
for 10.01.2023.*

01.08.2023
*As per report of the Registry, learned counsel for
both the parties have been informed through e-mails but
none has appeared.
Now, notices be issued to the parties concerned
through the Process Agency of the Court of Civil Judge
(Senior Division), Rohtak, for 29.08.2023.*

19.10.2023
*As per office report, notice issued to the sole
respondent received back with the report dated
29.08.2023 through Court of Civil Judge, Senior
Division, Rohtak stating that he is not residing at the
given address.
Learned counsel for the appellant prays for time to
furnish the correct address.
On so doing, let fresh notice to the respondent be
issued for 05.02.2024.”*

2. This appeal is pending for the last 30 years.

- 3. The appellant has not been able to furnish the correct address of the respondent.
- 4. Today again, the position is no different.
- 5. The learned counsel representing the appellant submits that he has written a registered letter to the appellant, however, there is no response.
- 6. In view of the aforesaid, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.
- 7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 26th, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No