

Tejaswi Abrol

...Petitioner

Versus

Ankita Dhawan

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Reshabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 02.02.2024 (Annexure P-9) passed by the Ld. Family Court, Gurugram whereby an application (Annexure P-8) of the petitioner for appearing through video conferencing has been disposed off.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his application seeking permission to appear through video conferencing has neither been accepted nor rejected. He further submits that he wants to appear through mode of video conferencing at the stage for evidence.
3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to the trial Court to decide his application for appearance through video conference.
4. Without discussing upon the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that petitioner may move an application to appear through video conferencing mode as and when required or for his examination-in-chief/cross-examination/evidence and Ld. Family Court is directed to dispose of his application for appearance through video conferencing in accordance with law without being influenced by any earlier order passed.
5. Petition stands disposed of.

27.02.2024

Parveen kumar

**(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No