



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

Date of decision: 23.07.2024

1. CRM-M-10130-2024

PARVEEN PATELPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

2. CRM-M-13688-2024

SANJAY VERMAPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Vinod Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. In both the petitions, the petitioners are seeking similar relief of regular bail in case FIR No.518 dated 04.10.2018, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC & under Section 3 of Haryana Protection of Interest of Depositors in Financial Establishment Act 2013 (Added later on), registered at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh (Annexure P-1), therefore, both the petitions are taken up together for adjudication.

2. At the very outset, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the learned trial Court concerned, has framed the charges on 27.10.2023, and thereupon, the first date for recording of the prosecution witnesses was fixed for



10.11.2023, and after passing of more than 60 days, the prosecution is unable to conclude the examination of the entire witnesses, therefore, he requests to consider the case of the petitioner in light of the provision of Section 480 (6) BNSS.

3. A specific query was put to learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that whether, they have approached the learned trial Court concerned, for releasing the petitioner, for the grant of regular bail under Section 480 (6) BNSS, to which he answered in negative.

4. The factual submission, as made by learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has not been disputed by learned State counsel.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioner has an alternate remedy to approach the learned trial Court concerned, to seek the relief of regular bail under the able provision of law, this Court deems it fit and appropriate to relegate the petitioner to the learned trial Court concerned, with liberty to file appropriate motion for seeking the relief of regular bail.

6. In case the petitioner approaches the learned trial Court concerned, and file such motion before the learned trial Court concerned, the latter shall make every endeavour to decide the same most expeditiously, preferably within 06 days thereupon, after giving adequate opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned.

7. Both the petitions stand **disposed** of accordingly.

23.07.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No