



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

RSA-1901-1990

Decided on :23.07.2024

Inder Singh

... Appellant

Versus

Balwant Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Nitin Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellant to see as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal.

2. As per report of the registry, notice was issued to the sole appellant but it is stated that the appellant is not residing at the given address. Even respondent Nos.1 and 2 are stated to have been died.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that in view of the same, the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellant or his legal representatives to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.

RSA-1901-1990

-2-

4. In view of the abovesaid facts and circumstances, present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.
5. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

23.07.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No