

143

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4366-2024

Date of Decision:18.03.2024

ROHIT KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. K.K. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1.
- On 26.02.2024, the following order was passed:
- “Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the respondent has wrongly measured petitioner’s height. The minimum requisite height was 5’7” and petitioner’s height is more than that whereas respondent has wrongly measured as 5’6.6”. The re-measurement would prove claim of the petitioner. He is ready to pay costs of Rs. 50,000/- for the exercise to be carried out by the respondent.*

The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with respondent and on doing so, the recruitment board would re-measure height of the petitioner. If claim of the petitioner is found correct, the costs would be returned to him otherwise it would be forfeited.

Adjourned to 18.03.2024.

The recruitment board would carry out exercise of re-measurement within 07 days from the date of deposit of aforesaid costs.”
2.
- In compliance of aforesaid order, the respondent again

measured height of the petitioner. The respondent has found that exact height of the petitioner is more than 5’7”. This proves that on the earlier occasion some mistake had occurred on the part of respondent while measuring height of the petitioner.

3. The petitioner vide order dated 26.02.2024 was directed to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- with respondent for re-measurement of height. As contention of petitioner has been found correct, he is entitled to refund of aforesaid amount. The respondents are hereby directed to refund a sum of Rs.50,000/- to petitioner within 4 weeks from today.

4. As respondent has found that height of the petitioner is more than 5’7” and he is eligible to post of Sub Inspector, the petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondents to issue appointment letter to petitioner, subject to compliance of other terms and conditions of the advertisement.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.03.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>