

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(106)

CRM-M-10261-2024

Date of decision: - 28.02.2024

Lakhwinder Snigh @ Lakha**....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Anureet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the respondents to conclude the investigation of the case and submit the final report in case registered vide FIR No.81 dated 18.07.2023 under Sections 452, 307, 323, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Anaj Mandi, District Patiala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on an earlier occasion also a petition bearing CRM-M-44986-2023 was filed by the petitioner, in which, the following order was passed: -

“1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to get free and fair investigation conducted in FIR No.81 dated 18.07.2023 under Sections 452, 307, 323, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Anaj

Mandi, District Patiala.

*2. Faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others, reported as 2008(2) SCC 409** and in **M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, Criminal Appeal No.102 of 2011, decided on 20.03.2020**, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the concerned Court or to avail any other alternative remedy, in accordance with law.*

3. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, inadvertently, there is no mention of the said earlier petition, thus, he seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to pursue alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

February 28, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No