

2024:PHHC:059507
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

255
COCP-624-2024
Date of decision: 30.04.2024

AUDIL AHMAD DAR ...Petitioner

Versus

PROF. DR RAJEEV SOOD AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Section 151 CPC, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 18.12.2023 passed in CWP-28492-2023.
2. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order dated 18.12.2023 passed in CWP-28492-2023 has been complied with and speaking order has been passed.
3. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
5. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

30.04.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No