205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2202-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.08.2024

AMARJIT SINGH ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNAJB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Saachi Mahajan, Advocate for
Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. D.V. Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arshdeep, Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 6.

****

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, quashing all the selections made in pursuance of advertisement issued on behalf of respondent- Bank as Annexure P-6 and quashing the order dated 14.12.1998, whereby the services of the petitioner has been terminated.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite her best efforts, she has not been able to get instructions from the petitioner.

3. In this view of the matter, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same, if any cause still survives.

27.08.2024 **(NAMIT KUMAR)**
renubala **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

Renu Bala
2024.08.28 10:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document