

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3199-2000 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.12.2024

PAWAN KUMAR SHARMA

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI


Present:- Ms. Suraksha Masih, Advocate for
Ms. Abha Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submitted that the prayer in the present writ petition is for granting regular appointment to the petitioner as Counter Incharge. He further submitted that the petitioner was appointed as a Trainee and thereafter, for the purpose of appointment on regular basis, he appeared in an entrance test and failed to secure qualifying marks and therefore, he was not appointed. He further submitted that the present writ petition is of the year 2000, which is about 24 years old and the petitioner has already attained the age of 58 years in the year 2023 and therefore, with the efflux of time nothing would survive in the present writ petition.



2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the learned arguing counsel has not been able to contact the petitioner.
3. In view of the aforesaid factual position as so stated by the learned counsel for respondent No.2, this Court is of the considered view that with the efflux of time nothing would survive in the present writ petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

16.12.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No