

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(258)

CWP No. 3949 of 2023
Date of Decision : 01.05.2024

Pushpa Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, D.A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Amit Khatri, Director General,
Urban Estate Department, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as the impugned order dated 07.02.2023 (Annexure P-9) has been passed on behalf of the Director General, Urban Estate Department, Haryana, the same be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order after considering the claim of the petitioner in light of the Instructions dated 03.11.2017. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that keeping in view the instructions given by the Director General, Urban Estate Department, Haryana, who is present in Court, an opportunity of hearing will also be given to the petitioner before passing the fresh order and appropriate order on the claim of the petitioner will be passed within

a period of eight weeks from today and in case, it is found feasible to extend the relief, the same will be extended to the petitioner, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order dated 07.02.2023 (Annexure P-9) has already been withdrawn, the present petition has rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of such.

3. Ordered accordingly.

May 01, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No