


2024:PHHC:142954-DB



CWP-5825-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.11.2024

Sunny Singhal

Vs.

...Petitioner

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurabh Goel, Advocate for the revenue.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. No one appears for the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent states that the petitioner by way of this writ petition has prayed for staying his arrest and also for restraining the respondents from initiating prosecution against him.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent has pointed out that the respondents have already initiated proceedings for prosecution and the petitioner was arrested and released on bail.
4. In view thereto, the prayer made by the petitioner is found to have become otiose and the writ petition is accordingly dismissed.
5. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE**

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

04.11.2024
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No