

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110-3

CRM-M-10189-2023
Date of decision: 04.04.2024

SUMANENDRA GUPTAPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Surinder Singh Pannu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, extraordinary power of this Court envisaged under Section 482, read with Section 406 Cr.P.C, has been invoked, for issuance of directions to constitute an SIT, and conclude the investigation in FIR No.265 dated 22.11.2020, registered under Sections 302, 201 and 120-B of IPC, (under Section 404 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Model Town, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab, and further to take action against the persons, who have already been declared as proclaimed offenders, by the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions, imparted to him by ASI Shamsher Singh, submits that in the instant matter, investigation has already been concluded, and said investigation was conducted by the SIT, formed on the orders of DGP, Punjab. Learned State counsel further submits that 04 persons have been arrayed as an accused, and out of those 04 persons, 03 have been arrested, and one Mona Bharti, has been declared as proclaimed offender. Learned State counsel

also submits that efforts are being made by the prosecution agency to trace out the proclaimed offender i.e. Mona Bharti, and as and when she is arrested, she will be produced before the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned to face the trial.

3. On the assurance given by the learned State counsel, this Court do not find any reason to keep this petition pending, at this stage. Therefore, the instant petition, is **disposed of**, however, with liberty to re-access this Court, in case the grievance of the petitioner still survives.

04.04.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No