

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

110(2) CWP-4607-2024 and
Date of Decision: 05.03.2024

NITU ALIAS NEETU ...Petitioner

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP-4606-2024

VIKASH DAHIYA ...Petitioner

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

111 CWP-4608-2024

SUMAN MALIK ...Petitioner

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petitions, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that an inquiry report has been furnished by an inquiry officer qua the allegations which have been alleged against the petitioners however, the said inquiry report is not correct as certain facts which were much relevant to issue in hand have been ignored.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the petitioners have already filed a representation raising objections

against the said inquiry report, copy of which has been appended as Annexures P-17/P-32 but the petitioner(s) apprehends that without going into said objections, the action is going to be taken against the petitioner(s) which will cause prejudice.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that being a Welfare Estate, all the action taken by State are in accordance with law, and in case, the petitioner(s) has any grievance qua the inquiry report given by the inquiry officer and they have raised the said objections, the said objections will also be taken into account while forming the opinion as to whether, any action needs to be taken in the subject matter or not.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in order to do away the apprehension that due opportunity to the petitioner(s) will not be given before forming the opinion, the appropriate authorities will give a personal hearing to the petitioner(s) also before forming any opinion so as to pass appropriate orders on the issue in hand.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner(s) to agitate their

claim in case, any order causing prejudice to them is passed by
availing appropriate remedy as available to them under law.

8. Ordered accordingly.
9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of
connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

05.03.2024

pry

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes /No