



218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
(2 cases) AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision : 23-07-2024

1.

CWP-18009-1999 (O&M)

CHIRANJI LAL GUPTA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)
- and
2.

CWP-9241-2001 (O&M)

CHIRANJI LAL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Present set of two writ petitions involve common question of law in the background of common set of facts and thus they are being decided by a common order.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

On the last date of hearing, when the writ petition was taken up for consideration in *CWP No.18009 of 1999*, following order was passed:-

“In the present writ petition challenge has been made to the order by which the petitioner has been removed from service as there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 30.11.2018.

Counsel for the petitioner be informed about the next date of hearing.

In case of no representation on behalf of the petitioner on the next date, the cases will be decided.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.”

Despite notice for the date fixed, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner today also. It can be safely presumed that the petitioner are not interested in pursuing the present petition.

Hence, the present set of two petitions is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed off.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

23-07-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO