



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(103)

CWP-1893-1998

Date of Decision : October 16, 2024

Nirmal Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab through Secretary and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sachin Kalia, Advocate, for
Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition was filed in the year 1998 and he is not in touch with the petitioner and does not know whether the present writ petition survives or not keeping in view the prayer made as of now hence, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case the petitioner is interested in pursuing the present remedy.

2. Ordered accordingly.

October 16, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No