

S.No.263

2024:PHHC:067478



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.1747 of 2024

Date of Decision:14.05.2024

Ajit Pal Singh Gill

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab for
respondents No.1 to 5.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh Kundra, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Power of Attorney has been filed on behalf of respondent No.6.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5 – State is already on record,
perusal of which would reveal that para No.5 of the said reply is relevant to this
petition which reads as under:-

“That the present petition is a counterblast against the FIR registered against the petitioner. It is pertinent to mention here that bald and vague allegations, qua threats being extended to the petitioner by the private respondent are made in the present petition as well as in representation Annexure P-2. The representation Annexure P-2 was marked by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib vide letter no.50/DIR/Litigation Dated 1-03-24 to the deponent for inquiry. Thereupon, the inquiry in the matter of the said application was conducted through SHO, Police Station Fatehgarh Sahib and



*from the inquiry conducted by SHO, Police Station Fatehgarh Sahib it transpired that no evidence came to light, corroborating the allegations leveled by the petitioner in the representation. Nothing came on record that required taking action on the part of police authorities as per MLR of the petitioner. Therefore, the representation was recommended to be consigned to the record room after a thorough inquiry. Having agreed with the inquiry report of SHO, P.S. Fatehgarh Sahib the representation Annexure P-2 has been recommended to be consigned to the record room. Thereupon, the application Annexure P-2 has been ordered to be consigned to the record room by the office of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib. True translation of the inquiry report is **Annexure R3/T.**”*

It is evident from the afore-said stand taken by the respondents that no case is made out so as to issue any directions to the respondents- State as was sought by the petitioner. Even otherwise, no one has appeared for the petitioner.

Dismissed.

May 14, 2024
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No