

Date of decision: 22.03.2024

...PETITIONER

...RESPONDENTS

Parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within a period of two

*weeks or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq
Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the
compromise and after recording their statements, learned
trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report
regarding the genuineness of the compromise and also to
intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against
any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 22.03.2024.*

*A copy of this order be sent to learned trial
Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”*

3. Mr. Abhinav Singla, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of
respondent No.2 and filed his *vakalatnama* which is taken on record. Registry
is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.
4. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from
the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is
genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the
Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and
another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya
Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs.
Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in
Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052, this petition
is allowed and FIR No. 108 dated 15.03.2023 registered under Sections 405,
406, 408, 409, 418, 420, 468 and 506 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station
Sarai Khawaja, Tehsil and District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all
subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

March 22, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

(ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No