

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1725-2024
DECIDED ON:05.04.2024

ARCHANA AND ANOTHER
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. N.K. Manchanda, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG Punjab.

Ms. Khushie Suryavanshi, Advocate for
Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate protection to the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 & 5 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have been solemnized marriage on 04.04.2024, who were living in live-in-relationship. In support of which, he produces a certificate issued by Purohit, whereby the marriage was solemnized as per Hindu Rites and Ceremonies. A copy of certificate is taken on record as Dcoument 'A'.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent No.4 submits that Mahaveer Singh, who is father of petitioner No.1 does not have any objection to the marriage of the petitioners and is not advancing any threat to their lives and liberty.
4. In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition, hence, the same is disposed off, having been rendered infructuous.

05.04.2024
Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>