



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-9556-2024
Date of decision: September 25th, 2024

Mejar Singh
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sachin Ohri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.72 dated 02.12.2023 under Sections 365, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Kahnuwan, District Gurdaspur.

2. While issuing notice of motion on 27.02.2024, following submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner were recorded:-

“Learned counsel, at the outset, submits that it is on account of some matrimonial dispute between the sister of the complainant and the petitioner that a false and fabricated case has been planted upon him. It has been further submitted that the learned trial Court had extended the concession of interim bail to the petitioner, however, it was not made absolute as the petitioner had failed to produce the vehicle on which he had gone to the house of the complainant on the fateful day. On a pointed query put to the learned counsel as to whether the petitioner would be willing to explore the possibility of an amicable settlement with the complainant party,



CRM-M-9556-2024

-2-

he has replied in the affirmative.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 21.05.2024, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 21.05.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from S.I. Tarlok Chand, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 21.05.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNNS.

September 25th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No