

at between the parties. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 10,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 29.02.2024.

3. Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, vide report dated 19.03.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondents No.2 to 5 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 128 dated 03.04.2020, under Sections 323, 452, 506 and 34 of IPC and Section 307 of IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.03.2024
kanika

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No