

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

249 CRWP-1691-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 20.03.2024

SUNITA RANI AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner(s).
Mr. G.S.Dhillon, AAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The case was called through video conferencing on request of learned counsel for the petitioner but the counsel could not be connected due to network problem.

This petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide security and protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners who apprehend threat to their life from respondent No.4 and not to interfere in their life.

On 23.02.2024, the following order was passed :-

‘The Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association has decided to abstain from work today i.e. 23.02.2024.

The subsequent question raised in the instant petition as to whether the official respondents are duty bound to protect the petitioners, who are facing threat of elimination at the hands of private respondent No.4, who is stated to be against the live in relationship of petitioners No.1 and 2 together.

It is also stated that a representation dated 18.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) in that regard has been made to

the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, which was posted through courier service on 18.02.2024, as is evident from Annexure P-3.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gurbir Singh Dhillon, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 05.03.2024.'

In compliance of the said order, status report by way of affidavit of Jagat Singh, Dy. Superintendent of Police, HQ, Sirsa has been filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3, the same is taken on record.

In view of the contents of the status report mentioned in paragraph Nos. 3 to 5, there is no threat apprehension to the life and liberty of the petitioners and therefore, nothing survives in the present petition, the same is dismissed being devoid of merit.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

20.03.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>