

127

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4175-2024

Date of Decision:29.02.2024

PARSHOTAM LAL AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by Division Bench judgment of this Court in *Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab* in *CWP No.2371 of 2010* and in *Sukhjitt Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others* in *CWP No.9936 of 2017*.
3. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal* (supra), the respondent authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the

petitioner in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal* (supra) and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.02.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>