

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 4315 of 2024

Date of decision: 26.02.2024

Ashish Gupta

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Ms. Anshul Mangla, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate
for the respondents-HSIIDC.

ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:

“Petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India, 1950 praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus and/or any other writ/order/direction to the respondents for carrying out necessary updation/changes in the online bidder portal (<https://hsiidc.bidx.in>) of Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation in order to enable the petitioner to deposit the balance H1 payment and other charges in relation to Industrial Plot No.2414, IMT Kharkhoda, District Sonipat, Haryana being the highest bidder, in view of the facts and circumstances mentioned herein below.

It is further prayed that appropriate orders/directions be issued to the respondents for the purpose of providing details/ modalities/way forward for deposit of balance H-1 payment in view of the e-mail dated 09.06.2022 (Annexure P-6) issued by respondents.

It is further prayed that appropriate interim orders/directions be issued to the respondents not to take any coercive action against the petitioner during the pendency of this writ petition.”

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the respondents were even served with the legal notice dated 09.02.2024 (P-9), but to no avail.

Served with an advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, for respondents is present in Court. He submits that since the competent authority is already in seisin of the matter, it would be expedient if this petition is disposed of, to enable the competent authorities, to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. He further submits that necessary orders shall be passed within three weeks from today after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by the learned counsel for respondents and submits that let this petition be disposed of in view of the statement made by him.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, this petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall consider/examine the matter in issue, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondents, assigning reasons in support thereof.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

26.02.2024
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No