



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CWP-18495-1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.11.2024

MARKET COMMITTEE, SONEPAT

....PETITIONER

Vs.

PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT, ROHTAK AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Daanish Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhagyashree Setia (*Amicus Curae*) and
Mr. Sachin Jain, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of Award dated 10.02.1998 (Annexure P-3) whereby Labour Court has answered the reference in favour of the workman.

2. The Labour Court vide impugned Award dated 10.02.1998 held the workman entitled to reinstatement with continuity of service and back wages. The impugned Award was stayed by this Court vide interim order dated 04.12.1998 subject to compliance of Section 17-B of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (for short '1947 Act'). The petitioner paid last drawn wages to respondent No. 2 till October' 2008.

**CWP-18495-1998****-2-**

3. The respondent No. 2 vide communication dated 09.11.2008 informed the petitioner that he has joined another organization, thus, he is not interested to accept wages in terms of Section 17-B of 1947 Act. Since then, he has not come forward to claim back wages.

4. Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate, during the course of hearing produced copy of letter which is taken on record as Annexure 'A' and submits that in view of aforesaid letter, the instant petition may be disposed of as infructuous.

5. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

19.11.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No