

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

CWP-4091-2024
Date of Decision: 22.02.2024

Brigadier Opinder Singh (Retd.) ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Roopan Atwal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Senior Panel Counsel,
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to correct spellings of his name.
2. The petitioner is an Ex-Serviceman. He claims that his correct name is ‘Opinder Singh’ whereas in the service record, it is recorded as ‘Opindera Singh’. All the documents of the petitioner are disclosing his name as ‘Opinder Singh’ whereas as per P.P.O., he is ‘Opindera Singh’. The difference in his name on account of addition of alphabet ‘a’ is creating problems for him. The petitioner in terms of Office Memorandum dated 12.03.1987 (Annexure P-11) is eligible to get his name corrected subject to

compliance of procedure prescribed therein. He has already complied with the prescribed formalities still respondent is not correcting spelling of his name.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Senior Panel Counsel for Union of India accepts notice and waives service.
5. On being confronted with Office Memorandum dated 12.03.1987 (Annexure P-11), Mr. Vibhor Bansal submits that competent authority would re-look into the matter and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.
6. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the petition is disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

22.02.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No