



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204)

CWP No. 2132 of 2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.09.2024

Raghbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.S. Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents had passed the order dated 13.09.1999 (Annexure P-13), which was not to the notice of the petitioner at the time of the filing of the petition by which certain benefits have been declined to the petitioner for the period he remained on medical leave, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a fresh one by challenging the order dated 13.09.1999 (Annexure P-13).

Learned State counsel raises no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the fact that the entitlement of the petitioner, as being prayed in the present petition can only be adjudged in case, there is a challenge to the order dated 13.09.1999 (Annexure P-13), hence, the present petition is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh one on the



same cause of vaction by challenging the order dated 13.09.1999 (Annexure P-13).

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 20, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : No

Whether reportable : No