



CRM-M-9442-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9442-2024
Date of decision: 01.08.2024

ANJU BALAPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Avtar S. Bhatti, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0260	04.09.2023	482, 506, 509 IPC and 22, 25, 29 of NDPS Act, 1985	P.S. Tanda, District Hoshiarpur

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to
the order dated 12.03.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 12.03.2024, following order had
been passed: -

“ State Counsel on instructions from SI Lakhbir
Singh submits that Anju Bala the petitioner has not joined
the investigation in terms of the order dated 22.2.2024.

Counsel for the petitioner prays for one more
opportunity.



Petitioner is directed to appear before the I.O. on 18.03.2024 at 11.00 AM.

Adjourned to 15.05.2024. Interim order to continue.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from S.I. Lakhbir Singh informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.
5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 12.03.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 12.03.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
6. The petition stands allowed.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

01.08.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |