

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4359-2024

Date of decision: 12.03.2024

Naresh Tanwar

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under article 226/227 of the constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 21.03.2023 (Annexure P-8) whereby the claim of the petitioner has been rejected;

AND/OR

For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot a plot under oustees quota in Sector-21-D, Faridabad as per entitlement under HSVP Policy.”

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, along with Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. He, as always, fairly submits that in context of the limited issue/concern, as sought to be raised by the petitioner, it would be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority to pass a fresh order, in accordance with law. And before any such orders are passed, the

petitioner shall be heard. Accordingly, it is urged that the impugned order dated 21.03.2023 (P-8) be deemed to have been recalled/withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authority be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

12.03.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No