

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP-4523-2024

Date of decision: - 27.02.2024

Harbir and others**....Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India to call for the record leading to cancelling the registration certificates of vehicle of petitioners and permits of the vehicles and to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 to validate the above-said registration certificates and permits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievance of the petitioners, the petitioners have given three separate representations (Annexures P-6, P-9 and P-10) to the respondents-State and at this stage, they would be satisfied in case each representation is considered by competent authority of respondent No.3 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then, appropriate relief be granted

to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.3 would consider the said representations (Annexures P-6, P-9 and P-10) and decide the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent No.3 to consider the said representations (Annexures P-6, P-9 and P-10) of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then, separate speaking orders rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of eight weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.3 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

February 27, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No