



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.9653 of 2024
Date of decision: 16th May, 2024

Jagga Singh @ Joga Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Yajur Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
(through VC)

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.15 dated 20.01.2024 for the offences under Sections 326, 325, 323, 427, 148, 149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 22.02.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case in hand, even though there was documentary evidence in the form of CCTV footage to the effect that on the fateful day at the relevant time, the petitioner was present at one Chirag Guesthouse located in the vicinity of the Golden Temple,



Amritsar. It has been further submitted that even otherwise, the petitioner has been attributed simple injury on the left leg of Gurdit Singh with a baseball bat.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel as to whether the petitioner is involved in any other criminal case, he has replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.02.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.02.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 16, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No