

205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:102933



CWP-2009-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.08.2024

SUNITA CHAWLA

...Petitioner

Vs.

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate for respondent no.3.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus*, directing the third and fourth respondents to comply with the order dated 30.04.1999, Annexure P-6, whereby the order of petitioner's removal from service was set aside with a direction to decide the case afresh.

2. Learned counsel for third respondent contends that the petitioner's services has been terminated on account of unauthorised absence, and she was not taken back in service ever after. The second respondent/Director, Secondary Education Haryana, had remanded the matter to the fourth respondent, for a fresh decision after affording sufficient opportunity to the petitioner to produce the relevant medical certificates, etc. After reconsidering the entire issue, the fourth respondent, vide letter dated 31.12.1999, Annexure R3/1, upheld the petitioner's removal from the service.

3. Since the petitioner has not challenged the order of removal dated 31.12.1999, the instant petition seeking compliance of directions issued by the second respondent vide earlier order dated 30.04.1999, to pass a fresh order cannot be entertained.
4. Dismissed.
5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

09.08.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No