

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1842 of 2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.02.2024

Tajwinder Singh
...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab & Ors.
...Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aditya Dassuar, Advocate
For the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, , AAG Punjab

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

1. This criminal writ petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking direction in the nature of *mandamus* to respondents No.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his property i.e. *Baba Balak Nath Mandir* situated at village Gurala, Post Office Jalalpur, Tehsil Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur from the hands of respondents No.3 to 9.
2. The counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is founder Chairman and Head Priest of aforesaid temple and private respondents have threatened to take possession of the said temple with the help of local police and they have also threatened to cause harm to the petitioner and that in this regard complaint Annexure P-3 was given to the concerned authorities but they failed to take any action.
3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and submits that the local police never threatened to take possession of the temple in question in an illegal manner and that in case there is any threat perception, respondent No.3 will look into the matter and do the needful in accordance with law.

5. I have considered the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner and the State counsel.

6. This Court is of the view that no ground is made out to issue directions to respondent No.3 with regard to possession and management of temple in question.

7. The present petition is hereby disposed of without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case with direction to respondent No.3 to look into the matter and in case there is any threat to life and liberty of the petitioner, then to do the needful in accordance with law. However, it is made clear that the present order is not to be construed in regard to possession and management of the temple in question.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

28.02.2024
Jiten

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No