

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CWP-4687-2019

Date of Decision :05.03.2024

Ram Kali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. C.L. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that the services of the petitioner have been regularized under the policy of the year 2011 whereas, the petitioner was entitled for regularization of her service under the policy dated 11.11.2003.

2. In the reply to the said claim of the petitioner, respondents have submitted that the petitioner did not fulfil the conditions of the policy dated 11.11.2003 and further, for the part-time employee, a seniority list was maintained by the respondent-department and the services of the employees have been regularized keeping in view the number of vacancies available hence, keeping in view the said seniority position of the petitioner, the services of the petitioner has already been regularized.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

4. Once, for the part-time employees, the respondent-State has

prepared a seniority list and the benefit of the regularization of service on the basis of the said seniority list has already been granted to the petitioner, no further grievance can be raised by the petitioner.

5, Further, as per the respondents, no employee, who was junior to the petitioner has been regularized prior to the regularization of service of the petitioner, which contention has not been rebutted by the learned counsel for the petitioner to show that any prejudice has been caused to the petitioner.

6. Keeping in view the fact that no employee, who was junior to the petitioner has been regularized prior to the date of regularization of the services of the petitioner, no ground for interference by this Court is made out and the present petition is accordingly dismissed.

March 05, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No