

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4029-2024

Date of Decision: 22.02.2024

CHANDER VANTI

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was deployed by the Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited vide their letter dated 26.07.2022 (Annexure P-11) but, the services of the petitioner were terminated in the year 2023 and that too without giving any valid justification.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits once the petitioner was duly recommended and allowed to discharge the duties on the post of Data Entry Operator by recognized government outsourcing agency, the services of the petitioner could not have been terminated without any specific written order, hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to allow the petitioner to continue in service. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 04.04.2022 (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 04.04.2022 (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to her, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim will be mentioned for the information and necessary action of the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation claiming relief as claimed in the present petition.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

22.02.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No