

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

131

FAO-258-1991 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.03.2024

Lal Singh

...Appellant

VERSUS

Parlad Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- None for the parties.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

As per the office report, the case is in burnt condition and only the Lower Court judgment could be procured. The details of the learned counsel for the appellant and the respondents are not available. A report was thereafter sought for from the Trial Court for supply of a copy of the paper-book whereafter notices were issued to the learned counsel representing the respective parties before the Trial Court.

Upon notice, learned counsel for respondent No.1-Parlad Kumar, appeared and made a statement that the brief had been taken by respondent No.1 and he does not have any documents in his possession. Similar statement was also made by the learned counsel for respondent No.3. Summons were also issued to respondents No.1 and 2 on various occasions, however, the same were always received back with a report that there is no such address.

Similarly, there is no information as regards the whereabouts of the appellant as well and no one has represented on his behalf.

Since the parties and their counsel remain untraceable, there seems no reason for keeping the matter pending.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is however granted to the parties to seek revival of the appeal as and when an appropriate cause arises and/or the parties come to know about the status of their case.

07.03.2024

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE